arXiv:1702.06168v1 [math.RT] 20 Feb 2017

BANACH ALGEBRA OF COMPLEX BOUNDED RADON MEASURES ON HOMOGENEOUS SPACE

T. DERIKVAND*, R. A. KAMYABI-GOL AND M. JANFADA

Abstract. Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G. In this paper we define a convolution on $M(G/H)$, the space of all complex bounded Radon measures on the homogeneous space G/H. Then we prove that the measure space $M(G/H,*)$ is a non-unital Banach algebra that possesses an approximate identity. Finally, it is shown that the Banach algebra $M(G/H,*)$ is not involutive and also $L^1(G/H,*)$ is a two-sided ideal of it.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let G be a locally compact group. The convolution on $M(G)$, the space of all complex bounded Radon measures on G, is defined by

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2(f) = \int_G \int_G f(xy) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y) \qquad (f \in C_c(G)), \tag{1.1}$$

For any two complex bounded Radon measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M(G)$. It is well-known that $(M(G),*)$ is a unital Banach algebra, it is called the measure algebra. Now it is worthwhile to investigate how this can be done within $M(G/H)$, where H is a compact subgroup of locally compact group G. We should clear that H is not normal subgroup necessarily, so G/H does not possess a group structure but it will be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Throughout this paper H is a compact subgroup of locally compact group G and the homogeneous space G/H is a space on which G acts transitively by left. The theory of homogeneous spaces has many applications in physics and engineering. For example, if the Euclidian group $E(2)$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{R}^2$, then the isotropy subgroup of origin is the orthogonal group $O(2)$. In that sequel, the homogeneous space $E(2)/O(2)$ provides definition of X-ray transform that is used in many areas such as radio astronomy, positron emission tomography, crystallography, etc (See e. g. [1], Ch. 1).
The modular function $\triangle_G$ is a continuous homomorphism from G into the multiplicative group $\mathbb{R}^+$. Furthermore, for all $x \in G$

$$\int_G f(y)dy = \triangle_G(x) \int_G f(yx)dy$$

where $f \in C_c(G)$, the space of continuous functions on G with compact support. A locally compact group G is called unimodular if $\triangle_G(x) = 1$, for all $x \in G$. A compact group G is always unimodular. Assume that H is a closed subgroup of the locally compact group

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 43A15; Secondary: 43A85.
Key words and phrases. complex Radon measure, homogeneous spaces , convolution, Banach algebra.
*corresponding author.

G, it is known that $C_c(G/H)$ consists of all $P_H f$ functions, where $f \in C_c(G)$ and

$$P_H f(xH) = \int_H f(xh)dh \quad (x \in G).$$

Moreover, $P_H : C_c(G) \to C_c(G/H)$ is a bounded linear operator which is not injective (See e. g. [5], Ch. 2, Section. 6). Suppose that μ is a Radon measure on G/H. For all $x \in G$ we define the translation of μ by x, by $\mu_x(E) = \mu(xE)$, where E is a Borel subset of G/H. Then μ is said to be $G-$invariant if $\mu_x = \mu$, for all $x \in G$, if H is compact, G/H admits a $G-$invariant Radon measure (See e. g. [5], Corollary 2. 51).
μ is said to be strongly quasi-invariant, if there is a continuous function $\lambda : G \times G/H \to (0, +\infty)$ which satisfies

$$d\mu_x(yH) = \lambda(x, yH)d\mu(yH).$$

If the function $\lambda(x, .)$ is reduced to a constant for each $x \in G$, then μ is called relatively invariant under G. We consider a rho-function for the pair (G, H) as a continuous function $\rho : G \to (0, +\infty)$ for which $\rho(xh) = \triangle_H(h)\triangle_G(h)^{-1}\rho(x)$, for each $x \in G$ and $h \in H$. It is well known that (G, H) admits a rho-function and for every rho-function ρ there is a strongly quasi-invariant measure μ on G/H such that

$$\int_G f(x)dx = \int_{G/H} P_H f(xH)d\mu(xH) \qquad (f \in C_c(G)),$$

where, in this case, $P_H f(xH) = \int_H \frac{f(xh)}{\rho(xh)}dh$ and the equation above is called quotient integral formula . The measure μ also satisfies

$$\frac{d\mu_x}{d\mu}(yH) = \frac{\rho(xy)}{\rho(y)} \qquad (x, y \in G).$$

If μ is a strongly quasi invariant measure on G/H which is associated with the rho$-$function ρ for the pair (G, H), then the mapping $T_H : L^1(G) \to L^1(G/H)$ is defined almost everywhere by

$$T_H f(xH) = \int_H \frac{f(xh)}{\rho(xh)}dh \qquad (f \in L^1(G))$$

, is a surjective bounded linear operator with $\| T_H \| \leq 1$ (see [8], Subsection 3.4) and also satisfies the generalized Mackey-Bruhat formula,

$$\int_G f(x)dx = \int_{G/H} T_H f(xH)d\mu(xH) \qquad (f \in L^1(G)), \tag{1.2}$$

which is also known as the quotient integral formula.
Two useful operators left translation and right translation, denoted by L and R respectively, plays crucial role in what follows. The left translation of φ by $x \in G$ is defined by $L_x(\varphi)(yH) = \varphi(x^{-1}yH)$, where $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$. In a similar way, the left translation operator is defined for the integrable function on a homogeneous space G/H as follows:

$$L_x(\varphi)(yH) = \varphi(x^{-1}yH) \qquad (\mu - almostallyH \in G/H)$$

, where $\varphi \in L^p(G/H)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The mapping $x \mapsto L_x(\varphi)$ is continuous and also $\|L_x(\varphi)\|_p = \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{\rho(e)}\right)^{1/p} \|\varphi\|_p$. The right translation is defined in the same manner. For more details see [7]. Now, let H be a compact subgroup and consider

$$C_c(G : H) := \{f \in C_c(G) : R_h f = f, \forall h \in H\},$$

where R_h denotes the right translation through h. Let μ be a $G-$invariant Radon measure on G/H. One can easily prove that

$$C_c(G:H) = \{\varphi_{\pi_H} := \varphi \circ \pi_H : \varphi \in C_c(G/H)\},$$

is a left ideal of the algebra $C_c(G)$ and P_H is an algebraic isometric isomorphism between $C_c(G:H)$ and $C_c(G/H)$. Furthermore, $P_H(\varphi_{\pi_H}) = \varphi$, for all $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$. These results can be extended, by approximation, to $T_H : L^1(G:H) \to L^1(G/H)$, where

$$L^1(G:H) := \{f \in L^1(G) : R_h f = f, \forall h \in H\},$$

(See e. g. [8], P. 98) and also (See e. g. [3], [7]). Therein T_H is an algebraic isometrically isomorphism. By using this isomorphism one can define a well-defined convolution on $L^1(G/H)$. Let λ ba a strongly quasi-invariant measure on G/H that arises from the rho-function ρ then:

$$\varphi * \psi(xH) = T_H(\varphi_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H})(xH) = \int_{G/H}\int_H \varphi(yH)\psi(hy^{-1}xH)\frac{\rho(hy^{-1}x)}{\rho(x)}dhd\lambda(yH).$$

Now, let $M(G)$ be the space of all complex bounded Radon measures on locally compact group G and H be a compact subgroup of G and also assume that $\mu \in M(G)$. One can define $\sigma_\mu \in M(G/H)$ by

$$\int_{G/H} \varphi(xH)d\sigma_\mu(xH) = \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(x)d\mu(x) \qquad (\varphi \in C_c(G/H)). \tag{1.3}$$

In other words $\sigma_\mu(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi_{\pi_H})$, for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$. Since $\|\sigma_\mu\| \leqslant \|\mu\|$, the linear map $\mu \mapsto \sigma_\mu$ is continuous and it can be shown that this map is surjective (See e. g. [8], P. 233).

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

Let us denote the space of all complex bounded Radon measures on locally compact Hausdorff space G/H by $M(G/H)$. In this section we establish some results to define a well-defined convolution on $M(G/H)$ which makes it to a Banach algebra, then we introduce an approximate identity for it; After that the relationship between two Banach algebras $M(G/H)$ and $L^1(G/H)$ is described, the last result asserts that $L^1(G/H)$ can be regarded as a Banach subalgebra of $M(G/H)$.
From now on, we consider H as a compact subgroup of locally compact group G.
We first introduce two crucial subalgebras of the measure algebra $M(G)$. Consider the following notation for the space of $C_c(G:H)-$invariant measures in $M(G)$.

$$M_H(G) := \{\mu \in M(G) : \mu(f * \varphi_{\pi_H}) = \mu(f), \forall f \in C_c(G), \forall \varphi_{\pi_H} \in C_c(G:H)\}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G. Then $M_H(G)$ is a closed left ideal of $M(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M_H(G)$ and also $f \in C_c(G)$. Then, for all φ_{π_H} we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1 * \mu_2(f * \varphi_{\pi_H}) &= \int_G f * \varphi_{\pi_H}(g) d(\mu_1 * \mu_2)(g) \\
&= \int_G \int_G f * \varphi_{\pi_H}(xy) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y) \\
&= \int_G \int_G f * \varphi_{\pi_H}(xy) d\mu_2(x) d\mu_1(y) \\
&= \int_G \int_G L_{x^{-1}}(f * \varphi_{\pi_H})(y) d\mu_2(x) d\mu_1(y) \\
&= \int_G \int_G ((L_{x^{-1}} f) * \varphi_{\pi_H})(y) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y) \\
&= \int_G \int_G (L_{x^{-1}} f)(y) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y) \\
&= \int_G \int_G f(xy) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y) \\
&= \mu_1 * \mu_2(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_1 * \mu_2 \in M_H(G)$. In a similar way, a direct calculation shows that $M_H(G)$ is a left ideal of $M(G)$. Furthermore, let $\{\mu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a net of elements of $M_H(G)$ approaches to μ in $M_H(G)$, then for all $f \in C_c(G)$ and $\varphi_{\pi_H} \in C_c(G : H)$ we have

$$\mu(f * \varphi_{\pi_H}) = \lim \mu_\alpha(f * \varphi_{\pi_H}) = \lim \mu_\alpha(f) = \mu(f).$$

Hence the proof is complete. □

Another important closed left ideal of $M(G)$ will be introduced in what follows. Let

$$M(G : H) = \{\mu \in M(G) : \mu(R_h f) = \mu(f); \forall f \in C_c(G), h \in H\},$$

where R_h denotes the right translation through h.

Proposition 2.2. *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G and μ be a left Haar measure on G. Then*

$$M(G : H) = \{\mu_f : f \in L^1(G : H)\},$$

where $d\mu_f(x) = f(x)d\mu(x)$.

Proof. For any $f \in L^1(G : H)$, it is clear that $\mu_f(x) = f(x)d\mu(x) \in M(G)$ and also for all $g \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_f(R_h g) &= \int_G R_h g(x) d\mu_f(x) \\
&= \int_G g(xh) f(x) d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_G g(x) f(xh^{-1}) d\mu(xh^{-1}) \\
&= \int_G g(x) d\mu_f(x) \\
&= \mu_f(g).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that since H is compact, $\Delta_G|_H = 1$.
Now let $\mu_f \in M(G:H)$ for some $f \in L^1(G)$, so $\mu_f(R_h g) = \mu_f(g)$ for all $g \in C_c(G)$. In other words, $\int_G R_h g(x) d\mu_f(x) = \int_G g(x) d\mu_f(x)$. Since

$$\int_G g(xh) f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_G g(x) f(x) d\mu(x),$$

we have

$$\int_G g(x) f(xh^{-1}) d\mu(xh^{-1}) = \int_G g(x) f(xh^{-1}) d\mu(x) = \int_G g(x) f(x) d\mu(x),$$

for all $g \in C_c(G)$. Therefore, $\int_G g(x)\left(f(xh) - f(x)\right) d\mu(x) = 0$ for all $g \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in H$. Then by Urysohn's Lemma to take suitable $g \in C_c(G)$ we get $f(xh) = f(x)$, for all $x \in G$ and $h \in H$. Thus $f \in L^1(G:H)$. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G. Then $M(G:H)$ is a closed left ideal of $M(G)$. Moreover,*

$$M(G:H) = \{\sigma_{P_H} := \sigma \circ P_H : \sigma \in M(G/H)\}.$$

Proof. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M(G:H)$, then for all $f \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1 * \mu_2(R_h f) &= \int_G R_h f(g) d(\mu_1 * \mu_2)(g) \\
&= \int_G \int_G R_h f(xy) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y) \\
&= \int_G \mu_1(R_h(R_y f))(y) d\mu_2(y) \\
&= \int_G \mu_1(R_y f)(y) d\mu_2(y) \\
&= \int_G \int_G f(xy) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y) \\
&= \mu_1 * \mu_2(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_1 * \mu_2 \in M(G:H)$. A similar calculation shows that $M(G:H)$ is a left ideal of $M(G)$. Furthermore, let μ be limit of the net $\{\mu_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ in $M(G:H)$, then $\mu(R_h f) = \lim \mu_\alpha(R_h f)$ for all $f \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in H$. But $\mu_\alpha(R_h f) = \mu_\alpha(f)$ and this implies that $\mu(R_h f) = \mu(f)$. It remains to prove the equality in this Proposition. Let $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ then $\sigma \circ P_H$ is a bounded linear functional on $C_c(G)$, since

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sigma \circ P_H(f)| &= |\sigma(P_H(f))| \\
&= |\int_{G/H} P_H f(xH) d\sigma(xH)| \\
&= \int_{G/H} |\int_H f(xh) dh| d|\sigma|(xH) \\
&\leqslant \|f\|_\infty \|\sigma\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|\sigma \circ P_H\| \leq \|\sigma\| < \infty$), so that the mapping $\sigma \circ P_H$ is a bounded linear functional on $C_c(G)$. Furthermore, for all $f \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma \circ P_H(R_h f) &= \int_{G/H} \int_H R_h f(x\eta) d\eta d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x\eta h) d\eta d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x\eta) d\eta d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \sigma \circ P_H(f).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma_{P_H} = \sigma \circ P_H \in M(G : H)$ for all $\sigma \in M(G/H)$. To show the reverse inclusion let μ be in $M(G)$ such that $\mu(R_h f) = \mu(f)$ for all f in $C_c(G)$ and h in H. Then by (1.3) there exists $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ such that for all f in $C_c(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(f) &= \int_G f(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G R_h f(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x\eta h) d\eta d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x\eta) d\eta d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \sigma \circ P_H(f).\end{aligned}$$

So $\mu = \sigma \circ P_H$ and the proof is complete. □

Now, consider the map $R_H : M(G) \to M(G/H)$ given by

$$R_H\mu(\varphi) := \mu(\varphi_{\pi_H}) = \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(x) d\mu(x) \quad (\varphi \in C_c(G/H)). \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\varphi = \psi \in C_c(G/H))$ then $\varphi_{\pi_H} = \varphi \circ \pi_H = \psi \circ \pi_H = \psi_{\pi_H}$. Hence $\mu(\varphi_{\pi_H}) = \mu(\psi_{\pi_H})$ and this implies that $R_H\mu(\varphi) = R_H\mu(\psi)$. From the definition we can easily deduce that $R_H\mu$ is a positive linear functional on $C_c(G/H)$. So by the Riesz representation theorem there exists a unique Radon measure $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ such that

$$R_H\mu(\varphi) = \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH) d\sigma(xH) = \sigma(\varphi). \tag{2.2}$$

Then $R_H\mu = \sigma \in M(G/H)$. Also based on the definition (2.1) it is clear that $R_H(\mu_1) = R_H(\mu_2)$ if $\mu_1 = \mu_2$. So R_H is a well-defined map. To show that the mapping R_H is linear, consider an arbitrary scalar α and the elements μ_1 and μ_2 in $M(G)$. Then for any φ in $C_c(G/H)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}R_H(\mu_1 + \mu_2)(\varphi) &= (\mu_1 + \mu_2)(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\ &= \mu_1(\varphi_{\pi_H}) + \mu_2(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\ &= R_H\mu_1(\varphi) + R_H\mu_2(\varphi) \\ &= (R_H\mu_1 + R_H\mu_2)(\varphi),\end{aligned}$$

thus R_H is linear. We shall show that R_H is a bounded operator. To do this, if we consider any φ in $C_c(G/H)$ then we have

$$|R_H\mu(\varphi)| = |\int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(x)d\mu(x)| \leqslant \int_G |\varphi(xH)|d|\mu|(x) \leqslant \int_G \|\varphi\|_\infty d|\mu|(x) \leqslant \|\mu\|\|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

So $\|R_H\mu\| \leqslant \|\mu\| < \infty$ and this implies $\|R_H\| \leqslant 1$. For surjectivity, let $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ and define μ on $C_c(G)$ by

$$\mu(f) := \sigma(P_H f) \quad (f \in C_c(G)). \tag{2.3}$$

Suppose $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$, using the Proposition 2.3,for $\mu \in M(G : H)$. Then by the definition of R_H, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_H\mu(\varphi) &= \mu(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\ &= \sigma(P_H(\varphi_{\pi_H})) \\ &= \int_{G/H} P_H(\varphi_{\pi_H})(xH)d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH)d\sigma(xH) \\ &= \sigma(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

this proves surjectivity.

Remark 2.4. The operator R_H is an extension of the mapping $T_H : L^1(G) \to L^1(G/H)$ given by $T_H f(xH) = \int_H f(xh)dh$, for all $x \in G$.

The next two Propositions play a central role for making $M(G/H)$ into a Banach algebra.

Proposition 2.5. *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G. Then $R_H\,|_{M(G:H)}$, the restriction of R_H to $M(G : H)$, is a bijective mapping and also it is an isometry.*

Proof. Since R_H is surjective, it is enough to show that it is injective. Let $\mu \in M(G : H)$ and $R_H(\mu) = 0$. Then there exists $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ such that $\mu = \sigma_{P_H} = \sigma \circ P_H$ and $R_H(\mu) = 0$ implies that for all $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$, $\sigma(\varphi) = \sigma_{P_H}(\varphi_{\pi_H}) = 0$. So that $\mu = 0$ and therefore R_H is injective.
Let σ_{P_H} be in $M(G : H)$, then for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$, on one hand

$$|R_H(\sigma_{P_H})\varphi| = |\sigma_{P_H}(\varphi_{\pi_H})| \leqslant \|\sigma_{P_H}\|\|\varphi_{\pi_H}\|_\infty,$$

so $\|R_H\sigma_{P_H}\| \leqslant \|\sigma_{P_H}\|$ and on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_{P_H}(\varphi_{\pi_H})| &= |R_H\sigma_{P_H}(\varphi)| \\ &\leqslant \|R_H\sigma_{P_H}\|\|\varphi\| \\ &= \|R_H\sigma_{P_H}\|\|P_H(\varphi_{\pi_H})\| \\ &\leqslant \|R_H\sigma_{P_H}\|\|P_H\|\|\varphi_{\pi_H}\| \\ &\leqslant \|R_H\sigma_{P_H}\|\|\varphi_{\pi_H}\|. \end{aligned}$$

so $\|\sigma_{P_H}\| \leqslant \|R_H\sigma_{P_H}\|$. Hence the proof is complete. □

The remarkable equality $R_H(\delta_x) = \delta_{xH}$ is obtained by using the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} R_H(\delta_x)(\varphi) &= \delta_x(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\ &= \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(y) d\delta_x(y) \\ &= \varphi_{\pi_H}(x) \\ &= \varphi(xH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \varphi(yH) d\delta_{xH}(yH) \\ &= \delta_{xH}(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$. Note that for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$ and $x \in G$ we get

$$\delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \int_{G/H} \varphi(yH) d\delta_{xH}(yH) = \varphi(x\eta H) = \varphi(xH).$$

where $\eta \in H$.
Now, we are able to define a convolution on $M(G/H)$.

Definition 2.6. Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G. The mapping $* : M(G/H) \times M(G/H) \to M(G/H)$ given by

$$\sigma_1 * \sigma_2(\varphi) := R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}})(\varphi) \quad (\varphi \in C_c(G/H)), \tag{2.4}$$

is a well-defined convolution on $M(G/H)$.

To show that $*$ is well defined, let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_1^{'}, \sigma_2^{'} \in M(G/H)$ and $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (\sigma_1^{'}, \sigma_2^{'})$. Using surjectivity of R_H, there exists $\sigma_{1_{P_H}}, \sigma_{2_{P_H}}, \sigma^{'}_{1_{P_H}}, \sigma^{'}_{2_{P_H}} \in M(G : H)$ such that

$$R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}}) = \sigma_1, R_H(\sigma_{2_{P_H}}) = \sigma_2, R_H(\sigma^{'}_{1_{P_H}}) = \sigma_1^{'}, R_H(\sigma^{'}_{2H}) = \sigma_2^{'}.$$

Therefore the injectivity of R_H implies that $(\sigma_{1_{P_H}}, \sigma_{2_{P_H}}) = (\sigma^{'}_{1_{P_H}}, \sigma^{'}_{2_{P_H}})$. Thus

$$\sigma_{2_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}} = \sigma^{'}_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma^{'}_{2_{P_H}},$$

since the convolution on $M(G)$ is well-defined. Then $R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}}) = R_H(\sigma^{'}_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma^{'}_{2_{P_H}})$. Finally, by (2.4), $\sigma_1 * \sigma_2 = \sigma_1^{'} * \sigma_2^{'}$. Consequently, convolution $*$ is well-defined.
Using Proposition 2.5 and definition 2.6 we deduce the following result.

Corollary 2.7. *The bijective mapping $R_H \mid_{M(G:H)}$ in the Proposition 2.5 is an algebraic isometric isomorphism.*

Now some remarks are in orders.

Remark 2.8. With the notations as above, we have:

(i) $(\sigma_1 * \sigma_2)_{P_H} = \sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}}$, because $R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}}) = R_H((\sigma_1 * \sigma_2)_{P_H})$ and R_H is one to one on $M(G : H)$.

(ii) One can simplify (2.4) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1 * \sigma_2(\varphi) &= R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}})(\varphi)\\ &= \sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}}(\varphi_{\pi_H})\\ &= \int_G\int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(xy)d\sigma_{1_{P_H}}(x)d\sigma_{2_{P_H}}(y)\\ &= \int_G\int_G \varphi(xyH)d\sigma_{1_{P_H}}(x)d\sigma_{2_{P_H}}(y),\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$.

(iii) Iet $\mu \in M(G)$ and $\sigma \in M(G/H)$, if we define $\mu * \sigma := R_H(\mu * \sigma_{P_H})$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu * \sigma(\varphi) &= R_H(\mu * \sigma_{P_H})(\varphi)\\ &= \mu * \sigma_{P_H}(\varphi_{\pi_H})\\ &= \int_G\int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(xy)d\mu(x)d\sigma_{P_H}(y)\\ &= \int_G\int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(xy)d\sigma_{P_H}(y)d\mu(x)\\ &= \int_G\int_G (L_{x^{-1}}\varphi_{\pi_H})(y)d\sigma_{P_H}(y)d\mu(x)\\ &= \int_G\int_{G/H} P_H(L_{x^{-1}}\varphi_{\pi_H})(yH)d\sigma(yH)d\mu(x)\\ &= \int_G\int_{G/H}\int_H L_{x^{-1}}\varphi_{\pi_H}(yh)dhd\sigma(yH)d\mu(x)\\ &= \int_G\int_{G/H} \varphi_{\pi_H}(xy)d\sigma(yH)d\mu(x)\\ &= \int_{G/H}\int_G \varphi(xyH)d\mu(x)d\sigma(yH),\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$.

By using part (iii) of the Remark 2.8 it is deduced that $M(G/H)$ is a left $M(G)$ module. In the next main theorem, it is shown that $M(G/H, *)$ is a Banach algebra and has an approximate identity.

Theorem 2.9. *$(M(G/H), *)$ is a Banach algebra and also it possesses an approximate identity.*

Proof. It is well known that $M(G/H)$ endowed with the total variation norm is a Banach space (See e. g. [8], P. 233). The fact that convolution on $M(G/H)$ is associative follows by applying *(ii)* of Remark 2.8 twice and associativity of $M(G)$. Let σ_1, σ_2 be in $M(G/H)$. Then by using surjectivity of R_H, there exists $\sigma_{1_{P_H}}$ and, $\sigma_{2_{P_H}}$ in $M_H(G)$ such that $R_H(\sigma_{1_{P_H}}) = \sigma_1$ and $R_H(\sigma_{2_{P_H}}) = \sigma_2$. Now the definition (2.6) and the fact that $M(G : H)$ is an normed algebra imply that:

$$\|\sigma_1 * \sigma_2\| = \|\sigma_{1_{P_H}} * \sigma_{2_{P_H}}\| \leqslant \|\sigma_{1_{P_H}}\|\|\sigma_{2_{P_H}}\| \leqslant \|R_H\sigma_{1_{P_H}}\|\|R_H\sigma_{2_{P_H}}\| = \|\sigma_1\|\|\sigma_2\|.$$

Note that R_H is an isometry. Thus $(M(G/H), *)$ is a normed Banach algebra.
To introduce an approximate identity, let $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ be an approximate identity for the

Banach algebra $L^1(G/H)$, see [3]. Put $\sigma_\alpha := R_H(\mu_{(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H}}$, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ where μ is the left Haar measure on G. Then by surjectivity of R_H, for any σ in $M(G/H)$ there exists $\sigma_{P_H} \in M(G:H)$ such that $R_H(\sigma_{P_H}) = \sigma$. Hence we have

$$\|\sigma_\alpha * \sigma - \sigma\| = \|R_H(\mu_{(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H}}) * R_H(\sigma_{P_H}) - R_H(\sigma_{P_H})\| = \|\mu_{(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H}} * \sigma_{P_H} - \sigma_{P_H}\|,$$

but by Proposition 2.2 there exists $\psi_{\pi_H} \in C_c(G:H)$ such that $\sigma_{P_H} = \mu_{\psi_{\pi_H}}$ and also it can be seen by direct computation that $\mu_f * \mu_g - \mu_h = \mu_{f*g-h}$, for all f, g and h in $C_c(G)$, so

$$\|\sigma_\alpha * \sigma - \sigma\| = \|\mu_{(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H}} * \mu_{\psi_{\pi_H}} - \mu_{\psi_{\pi_H}}\| = \|\mu_{(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H} - \psi_{\pi_H}}\|.$$

On the othere hand, the embedding of $L^1(G)$ into $M(G)$ is isometric, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\sigma_\alpha * \sigma - \sigma\| &= \|(\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H} - \psi_{\pi_H}\| \\
&= \|P_H\left((\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H} - \psi_{\pi_H}\right)\| \\
&= \|P_H\left((\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H}\right) - P_H\left(\psi_{\pi_H}\right)\| \\
&= \|P_H\left((\varphi_\alpha)_{\pi_H}\right) * P_H\left(\psi_{\pi_H}\right) - P_H\left(\psi_{\pi_H}\right)\| \\
&= \|\varphi_\alpha * \psi - \psi\|,
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ is an approximate identity, $\|\varphi_\alpha * \psi - \psi\|$ tends to 0 as $\alpha \to \infty$. Note that in the two last equalities, P_H is an isometry from $C_c(G:H)$ onto $C_c(G/H)$.
This implies that $\|\sigma_\alpha * \sigma - \sigma\|$ goes to 0 when $\alpha \to \infty$. □

In the sequel, consider δ_e as the unit element of the unital Banach algebra $M(G)$. If we define the point mass measure $\delta_H := R_H(\delta_e)$, then for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$, by the definition of R_H we have

$$\delta_H(\varphi) = R_H(\delta_e)(\varphi) = \delta_e(\varphi_{\pi_H}) = \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(x)d\delta_e(x) = \varphi_{\pi_H}(e) = \varphi(H). \tag{2.5}$$

Note that for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$ we have

$$\delta_H(\varphi) = \textstyle\int_{G/H} \varphi(xH)d\delta_H(xH) = \varphi(H).$$

Lemma 2.10. *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G. δ_H is a right multiplicative identity in the algebra $M(G/H)$.*

Proof. Suppose that φ is in $C_c(G/H)$ and $\sigma \in M(G/H)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma * \delta_H(\varphi) &= R_H(\sigma_{P_H} * (\delta_H)_{P_H})(\varphi) \\
&= (\sigma_{P_H} * (\delta_H)_{P_H})(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\
&= \int_G \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(st)d\sigma_{P_H}(s)d(\delta_H)_{P_H} \\
&= \int_G \int_G (L_{s^{-1}}\varphi_{\pi_H})(t)d(\delta_H)_{P_H}(t)d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\
&= \int_G \int_{G/H} P_H(L_{s^{-1}}\varphi_{\pi_H})(xH)d(\delta_H)(xH)d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\
&= \int_G \int_{G/H} \int_H L_{s^{-1}}\varphi_{\pi_H}(xh)dhd(\delta_H)(xH)d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\
&= \int_G \int_H L_{s^{-1}}\varphi_{\pi_H}(\eta h)dhd(\delta_H)(xH)d\sigma_{P_H}(s),
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\eta \in H$. Therefore, because of dh is invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma * \delta_H(\varphi) &= \int_G \int_H L_{s^{-1}} \varphi_{\pi_H}(\eta h) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\
&= \int_G \int_H \varphi_{\pi_H}(sh) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\
&= \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(s) d\sigma_{P_H}(s) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi_{\pi_H}(xh) dh d\sigma(xH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH) d\sigma(xH) \\
&= \sigma(\varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all σ in $M(G/H)$ we have $\sigma * \delta_H = \sigma$. □

Corollary 2.11. *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G. If σ is a two-sided identity in the algebra $M(G/H)$, then $\sigma = \delta_H$.*

Proof. Since $\delta_H = \delta_H * \sigma = \sigma * \delta_H = \sigma$, the last equality is satisfied by considering the Lemma 2.10. □

Generaly, δ_H is not a left identity in the algebra $M(G/H)$. Hence $(M(G/H), *)$ failes to be a unital Banach algebra.

Corollary 2.12. *he Banach algebra $(M(G/H), *)$ is not an involutive algebra.*

Proof. Since $\sigma * \delta_H = \sigma$ for all $\sigma \in M(G/H)$, it follows that $(\sigma * (\delta_H)^*)^* = \delta_H * \sigma^* = \sigma^*$. Thus $\delta_H * \sigma = \sigma$, for all $\sigma \in M(G/H)$. This implies that δ_H is a left identity, a contradiction. □

Proposition 2.13. *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G.*

(i) $\delta_{xH} * \delta_{yH} = \delta_{xyH}$ is satisfied for all $x, y \in G$ if and only if H is normal.
(ii) δ_H is an identity for the Banach algebra $(M(G/H), *)$ if and only if H is normal.

Proof. (i) Let the equality $\delta_{xH} * \delta_{yH} = \delta_{xyH}$ hold for all $x, y \in G$. If H is not normal subgroup of G, then by Urysohn's Lemma there exists φ in $C_c(G/H)$ which $\varphi(xH) = 1$ and $\varphi(\eta xH) = 0$. Hence, by the hypothesis, $\delta_{\eta H} * \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \varphi(xH) = 1$. On the other hand $\delta_{\eta H} * \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \delta_{\eta xH}(\varphi) = \varphi(\eta xH) = 0$ and this is impossible. Thus H is normal.
For the converse, let H be a normal subgroup of G. Considering the Lemma 2.10 and the Corollary 2.11, it is enough to show that δ_H is a left multiplicative identity in $M(G/H)$.

Let φ be in $C_c(G/H)$ and σ be an arbitrary element in $M(G/H)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta_H * \sigma)(\varphi) &= R_H((\delta_H)_{P_H} * \sigma_{P_H})(\varphi) \\
&= ((\delta_H)_{P_H} * \sigma_{P_H})(\varphi_{\pi_H}) \\
&= \int_G \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(st) d(\delta_H)_{P_H}(s) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_G \int_G (R_t\varphi_{\pi_H})(s) d(\delta_H)_{P_H}(s) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_G \int_{G/H} P_H(R_t\varphi_{\pi_H})(xH) d(\delta_H)(xH) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_G \int_{G/H} \int_H R_t\varphi_{\pi_H}(xh) dh d(\delta_H)(xH) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_G \int_H R_t\varphi_{\pi_H}(\eta h) dh d(\delta_H)(xH) d\sigma_{P_H}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\eta \in H$. Since H is normal and dh is invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta_H * \sigma)(\varphi) &= \int_G \int_H R_t\varphi_{\pi_H}(h) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_G \int_H \varphi_{\pi_H}(ht) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_G \int_H \varphi(htH) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_G \int_H \varphi(hHt) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_G \int_H \varphi(tH) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(t) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_G \int_H \varphi(tH) dh d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi_{\pi_H}(th) dh d\sigma(tH) d\sigma_{P_H}(t) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH) d\sigma(xH) \\
&= \sigma(\varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\delta_H * \sigma = \sigma$, that is δ_H is an identity for $M(G/H)$.
(ii) Assume that H is a normal subgroup of G, the proof to show that $M(G/H)$ has an identity is the same as the proof of the converse part in (i).
Conversely, suppose that σ is the two-sided identity in $M(G/H)$ and assume that H is not normal. Then there exists some $\eta \in H$ and $x \in G$ such that $\eta xH \neq xH$. Now take a φ in $C_c(G/H)$ with $\varphi(xH) = 1$ and $\varphi(\eta xH) = 0$, this is possible by Urysohn's Lemma. By (i) above $\delta_{\eta H} * \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \varphi(xH) = 1$, and on the other hand $\delta_{\eta H} * \delta_{xH}(\varphi) = \delta_{\eta xH}(\varphi) = \varphi(\eta xH) = 0$, a contradiction. □

Proposition 2.14. *Let H be a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G and also let λ be a strongly quasi-invariant measure on G/H. Fix φ in $L^1(G/H,\lambda)$. Then $\psi \mapsto \int_{G/H}\psi(xH)\varphi(xH)d\lambda(xH)$, $\psi \in C_c(G/H)$, defines a bounded measure on G/H. Denoting this measure by λ_φ, the mapping $\varphi \mapsto \lambda_\varphi$ is an isometric injection on $L^1(G/H,\lambda)$ into $M(G/H)$.*

Proof. Let φ be a non zero element of $C_c(G/H)$. For all xH in G/H, set $\varphi_n(xH) := (|\varphi(xH)|/\|\varphi\|_\infty)^{1/n} sgn(\overline{\varphi(xH)})$ for all $n \geqslant 1$. Clearly, $\varphi_n\varphi \geqslant 0$, $\|\varphi_n\|_\infty \leqslant 1$, and also $\varphi_n\varphi \uparrow |\varphi|$ as n goes to ∞. Hence by using the monotone convergence Theorem we have

$$\int_{G/H}|\varphi|d\lambda(xH) = \lim\int_{G/H}\varphi_n\varphi d\lambda(xH) \leqslant \int_{G/H}\|\varphi_n\|_\infty\varphi d\lambda(xH) \leqslant \int_{G/H}\varphi d\lambda(xH) = \|\lambda_\varphi\|.$$

The inverse is obvious. Therefore, $\|\lambda_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_1$ for all φ in $C_c(G/H)$. The general case then follows by approximation for all φ in $L^1(G/H)$. □

Remark 2.15. The asserted inclusion in Proposition 2.14 is reduced to an injection of the algebra $L^1(G)$ into $M(G)$ in the case of $H = \{e\}$.

The relation between some of the induced measures on G/H and G, in Proposition 2.14 and Remark 2.15 respectively, has been proved in the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.16. *Fix μ as a left Haar measure on G and λ as a strongly quasi-invariant measure on G/H with associated rho-function ρ, then for all $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$ we have $R_H(\mu_{(\varphi_{\pi_H})}) = \lambda_\varphi$, where $d\lambda_\varphi(xH) = \varphi(xH)d\lambda(xH)$ and $d\mu_{(\varphi_{\pi_H})}(x) = \varphi_{\pi_H}(x)d\mu(x)$.*

Proof. Let $\psi \in C_c(G/H)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_H(\mu_{(\varphi_{\pi_H})})(\psi) &= \mu_{(\varphi_{\pi_H})}(\psi_{\pi_H}) \\
&= \int_G \psi_{\pi_H}(x)d\mu_{(\varphi_{\pi_H})}(x) \\
&= \int_G \psi_{\pi_H}(x)\varphi_{\pi_H}(x)d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_{G/H}\int_H \frac{\psi_{\pi_H}(xh)\varphi_{\pi_H}(xh)}{\rho(xh)}dhd\lambda(xH) \\
&= \int_{G/H}\varphi(xH)\int_H \frac{\psi_{\pi_H}(xh)}{\rho(xh)}dhd\lambda(xH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} P_H(\psi_{\pi_H})(xH)d\lambda_\varphi(xH) \\
&= \lambda_\varphi(P_H(\psi_{\pi_H})) \\
&= (\lambda_\varphi)(\psi).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Consider the notations as in the Proposition 2.14. Put

$$\Lambda := \{\lambda_\varphi : \varphi \in L^1(G/H)\}.$$

Theorem 2.17. *Let λ be a strongly quasi-invariant measure on G/H arises from the rho-function ρ. The Banach algebra $\big(L^1(G/H),\lambda\big)$ is a two-sided ideal of the Banach algebra $M(G/H)$.*

Proof. By the Proposition 2.14 there is a one to one corresponding between $L^1(G/H)$ and the range of the injection $\varphi \mapsto \lambda_\varphi$, so it is enough to show that Λ is a two-sided ideal of $M(G/H)$. To do this it is enough to show that $\lambda_\varphi * \sigma << \lambda$ for all $\lambda_\varphi \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in M(G/H)$, since Λ consists precisely of those $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ such that $\sigma << \lambda$. Now, by the definition of convolution on $M(G/H)$, we have $\lambda_\varphi * \sigma = R_H((\lambda_\varphi)_{P_H} * \sigma_{P_H})$, but $(\lambda_\varphi)_{P_H} * \sigma_{P_H} = (\lambda_\varphi * \sigma)_{P_H} \in M(G : H)$ and so by Proposition 2.2 it equals to $\mu_{\psi_{\pi_H}}$ for some ψ in $L^1(G/H)$. Thus $R_H((\lambda_\varphi)_{P_H} * \sigma_{P_H}) = R_H(\mu_{\psi_{\pi_H}}) = \lambda_\psi \in \Lambda$. Therefore, $\lambda_\varphi * \sigma = \lambda_\psi << \lambda$ and the proof is complete. □

Fix a strongly quasi-invariant measure λ on G/H arises from the rho-function ρ. Here and in the rest of sequel we set

$$C_c^\rho(G : H) = \{\varphi_{\pi_H}^\rho := \varphi \circ \pi_H \cdot \rho^{1/p} : \varphi \in C_c(G/H)\},$$

and also take $L^p(G : H) = \overline{C_c^\rho(G : H)}^{\|\cdot\|_p}$, for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. In a similar calculation in [3, 7, 8], one can see that $C_c^\rho(G : H)$ is a left ideal of the algebra $C_c(G)$. Then $T_H^p : L^p(G) \to L^p(G/H)$ defined by $T_H^p(f)(xH) = \int_H \frac{f(xh)}{\rho(xh)^{1/p}} dh$ is a surjective and bounded operator with $\|T_H^p\| \leq 1$. Consider the surjectivity of $T_H^p : L^p(G : H) \to L^p(G/H)$, for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. By using the Proposition 3. 39 in [5], we know that $\varphi_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H}$ belongs to $L^p(G : H)$. Then one can define:

$$\varphi * \psi(xH) = T_H^p(\varphi_{\pi_H} * \psi_{\pi_H})(xH) = \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi(yH)\psi(hy^{-1}xH) \left(\frac{\rho(hy^{-1}x)}{\rho(x)}\right)^{1/p} dh d\lambda(yH),$$

for all $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$ and $\psi \in L^p(G/H)$. Let $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ and $\varphi \in L^p(G/H)$, there exist $\sigma_{P_H} \in M(G : H)$ and $\varphi_{\pi_H} \in L^p(G : H)$ such that $T_H^p(\varphi_{\pi_H})$ and $R_H(\sigma_{P_H}) = \sigma$. Then we define the function $\sigma * \varphi$ in a natural way as follows:

$$\sigma * \varphi(xH) = T_H^p(\sigma_{P_H} * \varphi_{\pi_H})(xH).$$

But we have the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma * \varphi(xH) &= T_H^p(\sigma_{P_H} * \varphi_{\pi_H})(xH) \\
&= \int_H \frac{(\sigma_{P_H} * \varphi_{\pi_H})(x\eta)}{\rho(x\eta)^{1/p}} d\eta \\
&= \int_H \frac{\int_G \varphi_{\pi_H}(y^{-1}x\eta) d\sigma_{P_H}(y)}{\rho(x\eta)^{1/p}} d\eta \\
&= \int_H \frac{1}{\rho(x)^{1/p}} \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi_{\pi_H}(h^{-1}y^{-1}x\eta) dh d\sigma(yH) d\eta \\
&= \int_{G/H} \int_H \int_H \varphi(h^{-1}y^{-1}xH) \left(\frac{\rho(h^{-1}y^{-1}x)}{\rho(x)}\right)^{1/p} dh dh d\sigma(yH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi(hy^{-1}xH) \left(\frac{\rho(hy^{-1}x)}{\rho(x)}\right)^{1/p} dh d\sigma(yH),
\end{aligned}$$

and in a similar calculation we get

$$\varphi * \sigma = \int_{G/H} \Delta(y^{-1}) \int_H \varphi(xhy^{-1}H) \left(\frac{\rho(xhy^{-1})}{\rho(x)}\right)^{1/p} dh d\sigma(yH),$$

Proposition 2.18. *Suppose* $1 \leq p < \infty$ *and let* $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ *and also* $\varphi \in L^p(G/H)$. *Then* $\sigma * \varphi \in L^p(G/H)$ *and* $\|\sigma * \varphi\|_p \leq \|\sigma\|\|\varphi\|_p$

Proof. Fix $\sigma \in M(G/H)$ and $\varphi \in L^p(G/H)$. Considering definition of T_H^p, the function $\sigma * \varphi$ belongs to $L^p(G/H)$. Using the fact that the mapping T_H^p and R_H are isometric on $L^p(G:H)$ and $M(G:H)$, respectively, we get

$$\|T_H^p(\sigma_{P_H} * \varphi_{\pi_H})\|_p = \|\sigma_{P_H} * \varphi_{\pi_H}\|_p \leq \|\sigma_{P_H}\|\|\varphi_{\pi_H}\|_p = \|R_H(\sigma_{P_H})\|\|T_H^p(\varphi_{\pi_H})\|_p.$$

This implies $\|\sigma * \varphi\|_p \leq \|\sigma\|\|\varphi\|_p$. □

References

[1] S. R. Deans, *The Radon Transform and Some of its Applications*, Wiley, New York, 1983.

[2] A. Deitmar and S. Echterhoof, *Principles of Harmonic analysis*, Springer, New York, 2009.

[3] A. G. Farashahi, *Convolotion and involution of function spaces of homogeneous spaces*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) 36(4) (2013), 1109-1122.

[4] J. M. G. Fell and R. S. Doran, *Representations of *-Algebras, Locally Compact Groups, and Banach *-Algebraic Bundles*, Academic press, San Diego, 1988.

[5] G. B. Folland, *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, CRC press, 1995.

[6] S. Helgason, *Integral Geometry and Radon Transform*, Springer, New York, 2011.

[7] R. A. Kamyabi-Gol and N. Tavalaei, *Convolution and Homogeneous Spaces*, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, 35(2009), 129-146.

[8] R. Reiter and J. D. Stegeman, *Classical Harmonic Analysis* , 2nd Ed, Oxford university press, New York, 2000.

[9] F. Esmaeelzadeh, A. Erfanian Attar and H. R. Ebrahimi Vishki, *Banach Algebra Structure on Homogeneous Spaces* , Asian Journal of Current Engineering and Maths, , 2012.

International Campus, Faculty of Mathematic Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

E-mail address: derikvand@miau.ac.ir

Department of Pure Mathematics and Centre of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran

E-mail address: kamyabi@um.ac.ir

Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran

E-mail address: Janfada@um.ac.ir